UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/519,801	12/29/2004	Christian Maletzko	12810-00007-US	7341	
	7590 06/20/200 OVE LODGE & HUT	EXAMINER			
1875 EYE STR		PIERY, MICHAEL T			
SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON	N, DC 20036	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1791		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			06/20/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		А	oplication No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			10/519,801		MALETZKO ET AL.				
			xaminer		Art Unit				
		l M	IICHAEL T. PIERY		1791				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appea	rs on the cover sh	eet with the co	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply is specified above, the maximum st e to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATI s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. atutory period will a v will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS COMI i). In no event, however, apply and will expire SIX use the application to be	MUNICATION , may a reply be time (6) MONTHS from the come ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 29 Dece	ember 2004						
·	•		tion is non-final.						
′—		<i>,</i> —		d matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
٥,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims		-						
4) X	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the a	application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restricti	on and/or elec	ction requirement	· -					
·	on Papers								
	-								
•	The specification is objected to by th								
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any obje			-					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) *No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Pap 5) ☐ Not	erview Summary (per No(s)/Mail Da tice of Informal Pa er:	te				

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-5, drawn to foam beads.

Group II, claim(s) 6-10 and 12-15, drawn to a process for the production of foam beads.

Group III, claim(s) 11, drawn to a foam molding.

The inventions listed as Groups I, II, and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The common technical feature which links groups I-III is open celled foam beads. This feature is shown in EP 1016690 (Abstract). Therefore, because the common technical feature fails to define a contribution over the art, unity of invention between the three groups is lacking.

A telephone call was made to John Evans on June 10, 2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,801 Page 3

Art Unit: 1791

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double

Application/Control Number: 10/519,801 Page 4

Art Unit: 1791

patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is

withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01. Any inquiry concerning

this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to

MICHAEL T. PIERY whose telephone number is (571)270-5047. The examiner can normally

be reached on M-Th 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael T Piery/

Examiner, Art Unit 1791

/Christina Johnson/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791